

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS ON SHAPE AND FORM'S CHARACTERISTICS OF JAPANESE & VIETNAMESE TRADITIONAL DAILY PRODUCTS

Ngo Thi Thu Trang*, Fumio Terauchi**, Mitsunori Kubo**, Hiroyuki Aoki**

* Graduate School of Engineering, Chiba University
ngo_thi_thu_trang@yahoo.com

** Chiba University, Yayoi-cho 1-33, Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8522, Japan

Abstract: This paper presents the major analyses carried out on shape and form's characteristic database from Japanese and Vietnamese traditional daily products. The aim of the research is to recognize the vital specifications representing for a national style by comparing two different countries together. By using Quantification Theory Type III and Cluster analysis, firstly, the analyses were undergone separately for Japanese and then Vietnamese product data in succession so that a view of general direction for each country was caught. Japanese product's forms spread from the freely unsymmetrical form to rigid geometric ones, whereas Vietnamese products mostly concentrate on various styles of organic forms. Moreover, the result showing a difference in scale of "Complexity" and "Specification" axis between Japan and Vietnam leads to performance of the analysis done on combine database of the two countries to get a clearer view of correlation between them. It is a tendency of Japan toward geometric and simple direction, and Vietnam toward organic and complex ones. However, the biggest difference between the two countries lies in "Form" (Geometric – Organic) axis. Continuously, for studying more deeply into outline of products' shape, the main ratios that affect the appearance or gorgeousness of product's outline were taken into account and analyzed by using Principle Component Analysis method. The results of ratio analyses showed that Japanese shape's gorgeousness seem to stretch out from lowest level-balance to the highest levels-distinction comparing to the emphasis in harmonious levels of Vietnamese case. Therefore, the Equivalent (equivalence in all 3 main ratios) shapes and Distinctive (high contrast in all 3 main ratios) shapes are the special ones of Japanese traditional daily products. By comparing this result to the previous ones, finally a wider and more detail perspective of Japan and Vietnam shape's specification in a mutual relationship was discovered.

Key words: *Shape and Form, Japan and Vietnam, Traditional Products, National Characteristics.*

1. Introduction

1.1. General meaning of the study

Since the last century, people have started talking about the preservation and restoration of traditional cultures and national identity. Not to mention numerous of the cultural national and international activities, in design field the tendencies of restoring and applying traditional values in contemporary designs has blossomed. However, these applications are much up to the view point of each individual designer or just a simple integration of traditional items' detail elements into modern forms due to the fact that so far there is almost no specific description of the traditional values.

For contributing a small attempt to this extensive issue, the research gives a proposal of more general and scientific approaching to identifying national characteristics embodied in tangible proofs. This investigation is in a chain of studies done on the vital elements of product's aesthetic such as material, color, decoration and shape. Results of these studies are supposed to be a guideline, base knowledge or reference for applying in practical designs or being used in further researches that involve in restoration of traditional cultures and building national identity in the field of product design.

1.2. Introduction of the case

Since human has started to make objects, through the struggle with nature, they had to constantly improve them in order to reach to useful forms necessary for their life. In the beginning stages, a form is chosen for its utility and then, later, for both its utility and beauty. With the passage of time, forms were standardized and fixed into regular and constant shapes. The variety of forms available in each life system determined the quality of existence and the influence from nature. "Each form has been a landmark, for in its particular time it expressed man's self-recognition. For the same reason it was also a guidepost to the future" [1].

With the meaning of finding out the "guidepost" to the future of product design from traditional products, this study is an effort in exploring the characteristics and tendency of shape and form of daily traditional products by comparing between two countries: Japan and Vietnam.

2. Shape's characteristic analysis

2.1. Definition of analysis elements

Table 1. Shape feature's list and description

Feature	Description of feature	Characteristic of feature
Corner	Indicating corner types on the intersectional edges of faces	Sharp Small arc Large arc Bevel
Line	Indicating line types on the surfaces of body	Straight Slight curve Big curve S-line Twisty
Volume	Indicating fatness of overall shape	Fat Medium Slim
Amount of elements	Indicating quantity of elements	Abundant Sufficient Minimized
Overall form	Indicating the main form of product from general view	Geometric Organic Free form Imitated
Outline	Indicating outline of the whole product shape with details	Sophisticate Average Simple
Unity of parts	Indicating the correlation between forms of parts	Uniform Mix
Horizontal ratio (*)	Indicating ratio between the narrowest and the widest parts in the body of products	High contrast ($\leq 1/4$) Harmony ($1/2-1/3$) Low contrast ($\geq 1/2$) Equivalence ($\approx 1/1$)

(*) See reference in Table 5, section 3.1

The initial source of samples is collected from museum visiting, internet, books and other literature document. Samples are daily products of Japan from prehistory until Edo period, and products of Vietnam from prehistory until Nguyen period. The original amount of samples was around 2,200 for Japan, and 2,000 for Vietnam. However, through considering the content of initial samples and the aims of this investigation, the number of initial samples was reduced to 1,151 samples for Japan and 1,049 ones for Vietnam. This reduction was made by dismissing the samples which have different decoration or color or material but the same in shape. That means only the initial samples with different shapes were chosen to be the final samples for this time's analysis. The reduction is necessary for getting a better result from the analysis.

Categories of the analysis were chosen from the most fundamental features of shape and form that are supposed to cover all aspects of product's shapes and be able to make clear the distinction

between them at a certain level. The features are: corner, line, volume, amount of elements, overall form, outline, unity of parts, and horizontal ratio. From those features, the more detail characteristics were determined to get clearer description of product's shape. Table 1 presents all 28 features' characteristics.

2.2. Analysis and result

From the above mentioned samples and categories, the first database was made. The draft result is presented in the Figure 1. Through the graph, many un-equal points were found in the value of characteristics between Japan and Vietnam. Among that, the most essential points lie in these characteristics: chamber corner, straight line, s-line, minimized element, geometrical form, free form, reduced function, and equivalent ratio. The importance of these points is either in the difference between values of Japan and Vietnam in each characteristic (e.g. "straight line", "s-line", "minimized element", "geometrical form", "equivalent ratio"), or in the too big difference between average value of one characteristic comparing to others (e.g. "chamber corner", "free-form", "reduced function"). These points will make meaning to the result of the final analysis, as we can see later, due to their dismissal in the final analysis. To gain a better result for analysis, according to correlation between categories and axes in analyzing process, some categories was dismissed in Japanese case, and some others in Vietnamese case. The values of these categories were transferred to the closest ones if suitable.




Figure 1. Comparing Japanese and Vietnamese database

The table 2 shows the parameters of 5 axes from Quantification Theory Type III analysis on Japanese samples (Analysis I). And Figure 2 & 3 are graphs presenting result from this quantification analysis and cluster

Table 2. Parameters of analysis on Japanese samples

Axis No.	Eigen value	Percentage of contribution	Accumulation contribution	Coefficient correlation
1	0.265	24.3%	24.3%	0.514
2	0.199	18.3%	42.6%	0.446
3	0.129	11.8%	54.4%	0.359
4	0.117	10.8%	65.2%	0.342
5	0.102	9.4%	74.6%	0.320

analysis. From the distribution of categories in these graphs, meanings of the three main axes were read as following names:

- Axis 1: Organic – Geometric (Form)
- Axis 2: Complex – Simple (Complexity)
- Axis 3: Harmonious – Subtle (Specification)




Figure 2. Distribution of shape's characteristics of Japanese product (axis 1 & 2)




Figure 3. Distribution of shape's characteristics of Japanese product (axis 1 & 3)




Figure 4. Distribution of shape's characteristics of Vietnamese product (axis 1 & 2)




Figure 5. Distribution of shape's characteristics of Vietnamese product (axis 1 & 3)

As the same sequence of above Japanese graphs, the results from analyses for Vietnamese data are presented in the table and graphs below. Table 3 shows the parameters of 5 axes from analysis on Vietnamese samples (Analysis II). And Figure 4 & 5 are graphs of distribution of shape's characteristics in Vietnamese products. From the distribution of characteristics in these graphs, meanings of the three main axes were read as following names for Vietnamese categories:

Table 3. Parameters of analysis on Vietnamese samples

Axis No.	Eigen value	Percentage of contribution	Accumulation contribution	Coefficient correlation
1	0.186	15.8%	15.8%	0.431
2	0.168	14.2%	30.1%	0.409
3	0.150	12.7%	42.8%	0.387
4	0.137	11.6%	54.4%	0.370
5	0.129	11.0%	65.4%	0.360




Figure 6. Comparing axes of Vietnam and Japan

analysis was carried out on the data of both countries combined together (Analysis III). The categories in this analysis were kept the same as the ones appeared in the results of the separated analyses of each country.




Figure 7. Distribution of shape's characteristics of both Japanese & Vietnamese products (axis 1 & 2)

- Axis 1: Complex – Reasonable (Complexity)
- Axis 2: Crude fat – Temperate (Volume)
- Axis 3: Subtle – Gorgeous (Specification)

Once the meaning of axes has been clear, the similarity and dissimilarity is be able to found between the two countries. At first, the similarities are embodied in the two axes: Complexity and Specification. Nevertheless, despite of the same name of axes, the levels in them are slightly different as portrayed in the following diagrams (Figure 6). As we can see, the two ends of Japanese axis seems to be higher contrast in Complexity, in other hand, Vietnamese Specification axis seems to be wider. However, the main difference lies in the axes: Form (Organic - Geometric) of Japan and Volume (Crude fat – Temperate) of Vietnam. Actually, in Specification axis of Vietnam, Crude fat and Temperate describe the characteristics of Organic form.

Due to what was found in comparing results from analyzing data of Japan and then Vietnam, the difference within the width of axes between the two countries leads to the need of checking position of each country in a same space. For that reason, a further Quantification Theory Type III




Figure 8. Distribution of shape's characteristics of both Japanese & Vietnamese products (axis 1 & 3)

Table 4. Parameters of analysis on Vietnamese samples

Axis No.	Eigen value	Percentage of contribution	Accumulation contribution	Coefficient correlation
1	0.227	15.1%	15.1%	0.476
2	0.187	12.4%	27.5%	0.432
3	0.148	9.9%	37.4%	0.385
4	0.137	9.1%	46.5%	0.370
5	0.127	8.4%	54.9%	0.356

The parameters and distribution of shape's characteristics of Japan-Vietnam were respectively shown in Table 4, Figure 7 and 8. And the result with the meanings of three axes is:

- Axis 1: Organic – Geometric (Form)
- Axis 2: Simple – Complex (Complexity)
- Axis 3: Bulbous – Delicate (Specification)

Continuously, Figure 9 and 10 show the distribution of clusters of both Japanese & Vietnamese samples. However, due to the over number of samples, only clusters that include single (or over 80% of) Japanese or Vietnamese samples are presented in these graphs. Because of that, these clusters are supposed to be the special points of each country, which are not overlapped by the other. Hence, the distribution of these clusters can represent for the special direction of the whole samples.




Figure 9. Distribution of clusters of both Japanese & Vietnamese samples (axis 1 & 2)




Figure 10. Distribution of clusters of both Japanese & Vietnamese samples (axis 1 & 3)

In Figure 9, we can see a quite clear separation between Japanese and Vietnamese clusters: the Japanese clusters situate near “Simple” and “Geometric” ends, while the Vietnamese ones are close to “Complex” and “Organic” ends. The same in Figure 10, the distinction between Japanese and Vietnamese clusters up to “Simple” and “Geometric” ends is still clear, while quite vague to the




Figure 11. Comparing axes of Japan and Vietnam through results of Analysis III


“Bulbous-Delicate” axis. This result helps to make clearer for Complexity and Form axis of the previous results (Figure 6) from separated analyses of each country. Combining these results, we have the diagram beside (Figure 11). From this, it is realized that Vietnamese shapes have a tendency toward Complex and Organic characteristics, while Japanese, oppositely, toward Simple and Geometric ones. Nevertheless, Specification axis still implies a wondering. And this problem is aimed to be solved in the next part of the study, analysis on ratios.

3. Analysis on ratios

Although “Horizontal ratio” has been in the category list of the analysis I (see Table 1), that just one type of ratios implying in a product shape. In this part, the objective is to study more detail in the main ratios that strongly affect the attractive appearance of object’s shape. In other word, this part of research went deeply into analyzing the gorgeousness or specification of products’ outline.

3.1. Definition of analysis’ elements and method

Table 5. Category of ratio’s analysis

Type	Horizontal ratio (a/b)	Vertical ratio (c/d)	Overall ratio (x/y)
Description	Indicating ratio between the width of the narrowest and widest parts of object’s body	Indicating ratio between the height of main parts of object’s body	Indicating ratio between the height and width of the whole object’s shape
Illustration			

The samples used for this analysis are the same with the ones in the shape’s characteristic analysis. Both samples of Japan and Vietnam were examined not separately but together. The main ratios that were chosen for category are called Horizontal Ratio, Vertical Ratio, and Overall Ratio. All these ratios are described with illustration in the Table 5. Because ratio is quantitative data, the method chosen for this investigation was Principle Component Analysis.

3.2. Process and result

The parameters and results of Principle Component analysis on ratios of Japanese and Vietnamese product’s shape are respectively shown in the Table 6 & 7 and Figure 12.

Table 6. Total variance explained

Component	Initial Eigenvalues		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	1.400	46.657	46.657
2	1.000	33.327	79.984
3	.600	20.016	100.000

Table 7. Component Matrix

	Component	
	1	2
Horizontal ratio	.808	.260
Vertical ratio	.836	.001
Overall ratio	-.218	.965




Figure 12. Component plot

By using Principle Component Analysis method, two components were extracted, which are named as General ratio (including Overall ratio) and Detail ratio (including Horizontal and Vertical ratios). Figure 13 & 14 are separated plots of Japanese and Vietnamese samples’ score from the analysis. By combining component plot (Figure 12) and sample score plots (Figure 13, 14 in turn), we have graphs for Japan and Vietnam in Figure 15 and 16 respectively. In those graphs, for easier comparison, the density of sample distribution was translated into gray scales: dark value present for high density, light value for low density. The ratios have values from 0.1 to 1.0 (for simplicity, only one decimal number was taken). In vectors of ratios (Figure 12), “1.0” end mean

equivalence, then the opposite end is understood as high contrast. In Figure 15 & 16, O means Overall ratio, H is Horizontal ratio, and V is Vertical ratio. Through comparing the two graphs, it can be recognized that, in general, the distribution of Japanese sample scores is wider than Vietnamese one. Considering the number of Japanese samples and Vietnamese samples are fairly equal, the distribution shown in the graphs means Japanese product's shapes are more diversity while Vietnamese ones are more condensed.




Figure 13. Plot of Japanese samples' scores




Figure 14. Plot of Vietnamese samples' scores




Figure 15. Graph result of Japan




Figure 16. Graph result of Vietnam

In detail, if looking at area near H-Equivalence and V-Equivalence, the density of Vietnamese sample score distribution is very dilute, even some places are almost clear. Whereas Japanese one is still condensed at this area, although the level of density is slightly lower other areas. This can be understood as the shapes with V-Equivalence and H-Equivalence are not popular in Vietnamese products. As illustrated in the Figure 17, the shapes with V-Equivalence and H-Equivalence are likely to be geometrical. This result one more time confirms the outcome of the last investigation on shape's characteristics. Figure 17 is the combination of Figure 15 and 16 with product's shapes illustrating for ratios around the graphs.

Through Figure 17, it is obvious that Japanese sample distribution expands more widely comparing to Vietnamese one at the area between V-High contrast, H-High contrast and O-High contrast. Whilst, Vietnamese sample distribution is protrusive little bit at the corner of V-High contrast, H-High contrast and O-Equivalence. Through the illustrated shapes around the graph, we can see that the high contrast of ratios yields attractiveness or gorgeousness of shape. Then the shapes with V-High contrast, H-High contrast and O-High contrast will be at the highest level of gorgeousness which is named as Distinctive level. And the shapes with H-Equivalence, V-Equivalence and O-Equivalence will be at the lowest level of gorgeousness which is named as Equivalent level.




Figure 17. Graph result of Japan and Vietnam




Figure 18. Graph result of Japan and Vietnam

As the same way, if dividing the gorgeousness scale into 5 levels as shown in the Figure 18, the range of Vietnamese shapes concentrate at the middle of the scale, while Japanese ones quite stretch out from the highest to the lowest level. Comparing this result to the Specification axis of the previous result (Figure 6), we see a difference here: in ratio analysis' result, Japanese scale is wider than Vietnamese one; in contrast, the Specification axis of the previous result shows that Japanese scale is narrower. The explanation for this difference can be drawn from the scope of the analysis methods. In the analysis on shape's characteristics, some weak categories were dismissed to gain the final strongest axis's meaning. This means the Specification axis in the previous result (Figure 6, Section 2.2) just show the direction of the most common shape's group. Meanwhile, the ratio analysis took into account almost every case without cutting off. Then the result of ratio analysis reveals a wider scope. From this, we can think that Japanese shapes stretch out from Distinctive to Balance characteristic (although the products with Distinctive and Balance shape are not much), whereas Vietnamese ones more strongly concentrate in the middle levels (Elegant or Harmonious) of the characteristic's scale.

4. Understanding from context of culture and custom

Studying shapes and forms available in each society will bring about a general view of human's awareness and life style at a special time. Although the influences on creating objects lie in so many aspects of life, nature, society,...that it is difficult to explain in barely short essay, some special points in Japanese and Vietnamese lifestyle and eating custom are somehow helpful for understanding the aspects of difference between object shape's characteristics in the two countries.

Japan is a country where everything, even the most common object in daily life, can be seen as a symbol. When forms become universal within the country, symbolic meanings accrue to them, and relations between their physical-functional significance and their symbolic significance vary. For example, "the Japanese have specialized eating utensils to a high degree and have given to certain ones generally recognized characteristics that have a kind of symbolism..." [3], or even the love of appreciating some daily activities as something like religion such as Tea Ceremony, Flower Arrangement,... For the meaning as symbols or to be served in a religion, geometric form seems to be best fitted for its simple and sacred shape. Perhaps these are some of the reasons why geometric forms were used widely in Japanese products. Besides, there were two types of elements in the Japanese life pattern that centered on the eating: the first included meals made for extraordinary days, and the second included meals made for ordinary days. Extraordinary days were ceremonial occasions such as the family and group rituals. The communal meals on extraordinary days necessitated a great many vessels and utensils. Only simple and ordinary vessels were used on common day. Moreover, each big family or group in Japanese society usually requested their own style of tableware as the symbol of the family. It is signified all that was

needed for the existence of the blood-relation group surrounding the individual or expression of group solidarity. This yields a high need of distinguishing forms of objects in Japanese society.

Meanwhile, in Vietnamese culture, the community spirit was overwhelming. The political power seemed to be not centered in the court or social groups but subdivided into villages. The traditional village hasn't been only an administrative unit of population but a strong community structure of territory, self-supporting economy, religion, private custom and regulation [4]. Living in that closed community, making something that is too different to other people was not a commonly accepted thought. The community spirit is also embodied in the way food is served in Vietnamese meals. Whereas in Japanese meal, food is served in separately tableware for each person, in Vietnamese meal, everybody shares the same dishes of food. Moreover, each village used to produce a certain type of product that had almost no competition to other villages. With this kind of village-culture, Vietnamese art can be regarded as a folk art whose overwhelming spirit is harmony and simple-hearted. The beauty of objects in folk crafts is usually not so much of the noble, the huge, or the lofty as a beauty of the warm and familiar, or a beauty of intimacy.

5. Conclusion

To understand the general way of thinking of a country in creating shape and form of objects is not simple. All the possibilities that might reveal the sensitive points were taken into account with the attempt of catching the most vital spirit in shape and form's creation and selection. Furthermore, the method of comparing results from two different countries brings about a clearer view or recognition of what is the important national characteristics of each country. The outcome of shape's characteristic analysis I of this study using Quantification Theory Type III method reveals the dissimilarity between Japanese and Vietnamese traditional daily products. Japanese product's forms spread from the freely unsymmetrical form to rigid geometric ones, whereas Vietnamese products mostly concentrate on various styles of organic forms. Moreover, although the main axes of complexity and volume were found in both countries, the difference embodies in the scale of each axis. The analysis II done on combining data of both Japanese and Vietnamese shape's characteristic helped to make clearer about direction of each country in a mutual correlation. It is a tendency of Japan toward geometric and simple direction, and Vietnam toward organic and complex ones. The analyses on ratio elements were deep digs into specification or gorgeousness of product's shape. The results of ratio analyses showed that Japanese shape's gorgeousness seem to stretch out from lowest level-balance to the highest levels-distinction comparing to the emphasis in harmonious levels of Vietnamese case. Therefore, the Equivalent (equivalence in all 3 main ratios) shapes and Distinctive (high contrast in all 3 main ratios) shapes, the utmost ones, are the special points of Japanese traditional daily products.

As it is said before, studying shapes and forms available in each society will bring about a general view of man's awareness and life style at a special time. Although the influences on creating objects lie in so many aspects of life, nature, society,...that it is difficult to explain in barely short essay, some special points in Japanese and Vietnamese lifestyle and eating custom are somehow helpful for understanding the aspects of difference between object shape's characteristics in the two countries. For example, Japanese style of separating several type of meals maybe a part of cause for the diversity of product's form, or the wider range in shape's characteristic scale than Vietnamese one.

Reference

- [1, 2] Takeji Iwamiya, Mitsukuni Yoshida, Richard L. Gage (1979) *Forms, textures, images: traditional Japanese craftsmanship in everyday life*. Kyoto, Tankosha, pp 16-286.
- [3] Seiroku Noma, John Rosenfield, Takahashi Bin (1978) *The arts of Japan*. Tokyo, New York, Kodansha International.
- [4] Bui Huu Hanh (2000) *Vietnamese Architecture History*. Construction Publisher, Ha Noi, pp 35.